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## QUESTION 3:

"Some states have reduced litter and increased recycling rates by placing a fivecent deposit on glass, plastic and aluminum beverage containers. The customer gets the five cents back when the container is taken to a redemption center. Would you support or oppose a similar program for Tennessee?" (FOLLOW-UP: "Would you STRONGLY support/oppose such a program?")


The 2008 Recycling Poll is a statewide survey conducted by the Social Science Research Institute at the University of Tennessee, Knoxville. The Poll was conducted under a contract with Scenic Tennessee, Inc. The survey was conducted March 2-20, 2008. 777 randomly selected adults were interviewed by telephone, providing a margin of error of approximately $+/-3.5$ percentage points at the $95 \%$ confidence level. The sample includes only registered voters. Trained personnel using a computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) system conducted all interviews. Respondents were selected by random-digit dialing. The survey was designed and analyzed by Dr. Michael Gant, who is Professor of Political Science at UTKnoxville and Director of the UT Social Science Research Institute. Amy Melton, Program Director supervised the survey. Professor Gant is available for comment-call 865-974-2730; or 865-974-2819.

Support for a 5-cent deposit by demographic group (question 3 of UT's 2008 Recycling Poll)

| Party Affiliation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Democrat | GOP | Independent |  |  |  |
| Strongly support | 50.9 | 46.7 | 43.6 |  |  |  |
| Support | 36.2 | 37.7 | 38.8 |  |  |  |
| Total support | 87.1 | 84.4 | 82.4 |  |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male | Female |  |  |  |  |
| Strongly support | 42.3 | 49.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Support | 35.5 | 39.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Total support | 77.8 | 88.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Ideology |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Liberal | Moderate | Conservative |  |  |  |
| Strongly support | 52.7 | 50.0 | 42.9 |  |  |  |
| Support | 37.3 | 34.5 | 38.8 |  |  |  |
| Total support | 90.0 | 84.5 | 81.7 |  |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | West | Middle | East |  |  |  |
| Strongly support | 42.7 | 43.9 | 50.8 |  |  |  |
| Support | 40.7 | 40.7 | 33.7 |  |  |  |
| Total support | 83.4 | 84.6 | 84.5 |  |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | < High school | HS grad | Some college | College grad | Grad/prof degree |  |
| Strongly support | 53.2 | 48.8 | 47.6 | 41.1 | 44.9 |  |
| Support | 38.3 | 37.7 | 37.8 | 39.1 | 35.5 |  |
| Total support | 91.5 | 86.5 | 85.4 | 80.2 | 80.4 |  |
| Family income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | <\$15K/yr | \$15-\$25K | \$25-\$35K | \$35-\$50K | \$50K-\$60K | > \$65K |
| Strongly support | 54.0 | 50.0 | 51.9 | 50.0 | 49.4 | 47.9 |
| Support | 39.7 | 37.5 | 30.4 | 35.6 | 34.6 | 34.5 |
| Total support | 93.7 | 87.5 | 82.3 | 85.6 | 84.0 | 82.4 |
| Size of residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Large city | Small city | Town | Small town | Rural nonfarm | Rural farm |
| Strongly support | 42.6 | 46.9 | 49.3 | 41.8 | 56.2 | 46.5 |
| Support | 39.5 | 40.8 | 37.3 | 42.7 | 26.7 | 33.7 |
| Total support | 82.1 | 87.7 | 86.6 | 84.5 | 82.9 | 80.2 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Single | Married | Divorced | widowed |  |  |
| Strongly support | 55.5 | 46.2 | 54.9 | 29.6 |  |  |
| Support | 35.5 | 37.4 | 35.2 | 43.2 |  |  |
| Total support | 91.0 | 83.6 | 90.1 | 72.8 |  |  |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Black | White | Other |  |  |  |
| Strongly support | 44.7 | 46.7 | 40.0 |  |  |  |
| Support | 41.2 | 37.5 | 30.0 |  |  |  |
| Total support | 85.9 | 84.2 | 70.0 |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Strongly support | 73.7 | 52.2 | 45.0 | 50.3 | 47.2 | 40.3 |
| Support | 21.1 | 41.8 | 39.4 | 35.8 | 36.8 | 38.9 |
| Total support | 94.8 | 94.0 | 84.4 | 86.1 | 84.0 | 79.2 |

